

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 09/845,619	04/30/2001	Ladislav R. Pust	S01.12-0721	2604
7590 12/24/2003			EXAMINER	
Westman Champlin & Kelly			MILLER, BRIAN E	
900 Second Avenue South Suite 1600 International Centre Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2652	11
			DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003	ι ;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/845,619	PUST ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian E. Miller	2652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover s	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, howeventh, howeventh	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered time X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of Decome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	December 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requiren	ient.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		•			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the a	attached Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the foreign language p 14) The translation of the foreign language p 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting reference was included in the first sentence of the series of the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for document is ma	nts have been received that the certified coperation of the sentence of the rovisional applications of the priority under 35 and the sentence of the sentence	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this National a)). bies not received. U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional specification or in an Application n has been received. U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific			
Attachment(s)	"П.		(-)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) <u> </u>	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No lotice of Informal Patent Application (PT hther:				

Art Unit: 2652

Claims 1-19 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/31/03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. All the independent claims, i.e., 1, 13, 19, contain language, i.e., "a combination of a Young's Modulus... with magnetic media at high temperatures" is indefinite, such that the metes and bounds of the claims cannot be readily ascertained. It is not readily apparent what "combination" of the recited components would produce the claimed result, i.e., "pulls the transducer back away from the magnetic media to avoid contact with magnetic media at high temperatures." Furthermore, it is not readily apparent what constitutes a *high* temperature, since "high" is a relative term, and the magnetic media is not part of a head so therefore would not be encompassed by the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,619 Page 3

Art Unit: 2652

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a limited amount of materials and thicknesses (see for example, Table 1), does not reasonably provide enablement for an unlimited combination of materials and thicknesses as the claim language sets forth. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, without undue experimentation, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Furthermore, it is unclear as to what temperature would encompass "high" and how far can such a "combination" pull the transducer back away from the magnetic media?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koshikawa et al (US 5,898,542). Koshikawa et al discloses a MR head for a magnetic disk drive (see FIG. 8), the head (referring to FIG. 5) including: a substrate 1 made of a material (AlTiC) with a thermal expansion rate CTE1 (7.9); a transducer (at least including elements 7-9) bonded to the substrate (through insulate layer 4) composed of materials, e.g., Cu, NiFe, with a thermal expansion rate

Application/Control Number: 09/845,619 Page 4

Art Unit: 2652

CTE2 (Cu-16.5, NiFe-12.2) that is greater than CTE1 (7.9); a first restraint layer 5 that has a bond to a side of the transducer and is formed of a material (SiO₂) having a thermal expansion rate CTE3 (1.0) that is less than CTE1 (7.9). See also col. 1, lines 35-51 for the description of the head, and col. 2, lines 51-57 for the use of materials. With the above construction, it is considered that combination of the restraint layer(s) and transducer bonded together to have a combined expansion rate that is substantially matched with CTE1 (re claims 2, 5); wherein the first restraint layer has dimensions and material properties that are selected to limit protrusion of the transducer beyond the substrate over an operating temperature range (see col. 5, lines 13-21) (re claim 3); a second restraint layer 4 that has a bond to a second one of the sides of the transducer, and that is formed of a material (SiO₂) has a thermal expansion rate CTE4 (1.0) that is less than CTE1 (7.9) (re claim 4); a third layer 9 that has a bond to the second restraint layer (re claim 6); wherein a bonding film 7 is between the second restraint layer 4 and the third layer 9 (re claim 7); wherein the first constraint layer has a width that is substantially the width of the transducer and substrate (see FIG. 6(b)) (re claims 8-9); substrate has a CTE between 7-8.3, i.e., 7.9 (re claim 10); the transducer comprises metals with thermal expansion rates in the range of 12 to 17 (NiFe-12.2) (re claim 11); the first constraint layer comprises material with a thermal expansion rate of about 1.0-4.3 (Si0₂-1.0) (re claim 12).

With respect to claims 13-17, the above structure is considered to encompass the method steps as claimed. Claim 18 is considered to also be encompassed by the above structure since the first restraint layer 4 is a thin film and deposited on another thin film, i.e., 8 (NiFe).

Application/Control Number: 09/845,619

Art Unit: 2652

With respect to the newly added language to the independent claims, e.g., "a combination of a Young's Modulus... with magnetic media at high temperatures", and in view of the 112 paragraph 2 rejection, above, it is considered to be encompassed by Koshikawa et al.

Claims 1-11, 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okai et al 7. (US 5,687,045). Okai et al discloses a MR head for a magnetic disk drive (see FIG. 16), the head (referring to FIGs. 1-2) including: a substrate 11 made of a material (AlTiC) with a thermal expansion rate CTE1 (7.9); a transducer (at least including elements 13-15) bonded to the substrate (through insulate layer 12) composed of materials, e.g., Cu, NiFe, with a thermal expansion rate CTE2 (Cu-16.5, NiFe-12.2) that is greater than CTE1 (7.9); a first restraint layer 17 that has a bond to a side of the transducer and is formed of a material (Al₂O₃-SiO₂) having a thermal expansion rate CTE3 (taking a 60% weight of Al₂O₃ at a CTE of 7.8 and 40% weight of SiO_2 with a CTE of 1.0 the overall CTE would be about 5.0) that is less than CTE1 (7.9). See also col. 13, line 19 to col. 14, line 15 for the description of the head. With the above construction, it is considered that combination of the restraint layer(s) and transducer bonded together to have a combined expansion rate that is substantially matched with CTE1 (re claims 2, 5); wherein the first restraint layer has dimensions and material properties that are selected to limit protrusion of the transducer beyond the substrate over an operating temperature range (see col. 14, lines 2-7) (re claim 3); a second restraint layer 12 that has a bond to a second one of the sides of the transducer, and that is formed of a material (Al₂O₃-SiO₂) has a thermal expansion rate CTE4 (same as CTE3 as discussed above) that is less than CTE1 (7.9) (re claim 4); a third layer 14 that has a bond to the second restraint layer (re claim 6); wherein a bonding film 13 is between the second restraint layer 12 and the third layer 14 (re claim 7); wherein the first

Application/Control Number: 09/845,619

Art Unit: 2652

constraint layer has a width that is substantially the width of the transducer and substrate (see FIG. 1) (re claims 8-9); substrate has a CTE between 7-8.3, i.e., 7.9 (re claim 10); the transducer comprises metals with thermal expansion rates in the range of 12 to 17 (NiFe-12.2) (re claim 11). With respect to claims 13-17, the above structure is considered to encompass the method steps as claimed. Claim 18 is considered to also be encompassed by the above structure since the first restraint layer 17 is a thin film and deposited on another thin film, i.e., 13 (NiFe). With respect to the newly added language to the independent claims, e.g., "a combination of a Young's Modulus... with magnetic media at high temperatures", and in view of the 112 paragraph 2 rejection, above, it is considered to be encompassed by Okai et al.

Page 6

Response to Amendment

8. Applicant's arguments filed 12/8/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

A...Applicant asserts that the newly added language is not disclosed by Koshikawa et al nor Okai et al with respect to the claimed "first restraint layer (or means for restraining)."

In response, the Examiner maintains that the newly added language remains indefinite because the metes and bounds cannot be readily ascertained and is further unclear as set forth in the newly presented 112 first paragraph rejection. There is no possibility that a skilled artisan could make and/or use this invention without undue experimentation. The claims would encompass unlimited combinations and permutations which would be further indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,619

Art Unit: 2652

B...Applicant further states "that, if a layer is made thick enough in combination with its Young's Modulus and Poisson's ratio, then that layer can act as a restraint layer (or restraint means)."

The Examiner maintains that the conditional word "if" in the above statement renders the whole argument moot, since the claims do not specifically set forth a structure to produce the claimed results.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Miller whose telephone number is (703) 308-2850. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7:15am-4:45pm (and every other friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

Brian E. Miller

Primary Examiner

Page 7

Art Unit 2652

bem

December 22, 2003